# QIM watermarking in the JPEG2000 coding pipeline

0

Peter Meerwald, pmeerw@cosy.sbg.ac.at

JPEG2000 pipeline & watermarking blind watermarking & self–noise suppression quantization index modulation (QIM) watermarking results

#### **JPEG2000 standard**

- ISO/IEC standard 15444
- based on wavelet decomposition
- better quality at low bit rates than JPEG
- rich feature set (lossless, lossy operation, ROI coding, scalability, error resiliance, random access)
- optimal rate/distortion allocation, EBCOT [1] based
- flexibility to implement the coder
- patent–free

**JPEG2000 coding pipeline** trying to maximize number of truncation points independent processing of 64x64 code block watermark

→ embedding before entrophy coding

➔ detection after entrophy decoder

0

0

0



# **On-the-fly Watermarking**

#### Advantages

0

0

- saves extra computation of image transform
- suitable for mobile devices, eg. digital camera
- can support ROI– and scalable watermarking Disadvantages
- uses same transform domain as coding
- independent code-blocks limit scope for perceptual watermarking
- restricts application of previously proposed watermarking schemes
- hard to deal with geometric attacks

## **Possible Application**

integrated image coding and watermarking eg. digital camera, digital library, ...

#### for

0

- image authentication
- image annotations
- copyright protection
- → different requirement, focus on
  → blind detection, binary messages

## **Communication model (Ramkumar)**

- received signal = host image (x), "self noise"
  + embedded watermark (w)
- + processing noise / attacks (y)
- → extraction possible?

0



M. Ramkumar, "Self-noise suppression schemes for blind image steganography, Proc. SPIE, Multimedia Sys. and App. II, v3845, Sept. 1999

#### **Image components**

image transformed to frequency representation (DCT, DWT, ...) – energy compaction
low frequency component ~ "self noise", most energy of the host image
mid– and high frequency component ~ processing

or attack noise

0

0

#### Where to place watermark?

- blind additive (linear) watermarking: tradeoff between low- and high-frequency components due to "self-noise"
- blind quantization (non-linear) watermarking: theoretically same performance as non-blind scheme (Costa's proof)
- → can suppress self noise work by Chen & Wornell, Eggers, Ramakumar

# Quantization index modulation (QIM)

0

0

0

C

0

embedding function s(x;m)
message m∈{1...M}
host signal x
approximate-identity function s(x;m)≈x
→ can be realized with dither modulation and quantization

 $s(x;m)=Q(x+d(m),\Delta)-d(m)$ 

## Watermarking with JJ2000

0

0

→ using JJ2000 3.2.2, http://jj2000.epfl.ch
 modular implementation of JPEG2000 VM in Java

5 level wavelet decomposition (7/9–biorthogonal)

pipeline interface: 64x64 code–blocks of 32bit integers (normalized)

#### Watermark Embedder

0

0

C



#### **Embedding Parameters**

• decomposition level (usually 3 – 6)

0

- code–block size (32 x 32 or 64 x 64)
- approximation or detail subband embedding
- window or sub-block size for quantization vector
- scaling factor to approximate perceptual coding (Zeng [2])
- key to generate dither vectors

## **Results: Watermarked Lena**

#### capacity 85 bits, PSNR 32.05 dB





### **Results: Watermarked Goldhill**

#### capacity 383 bits, PSNR 32.09 dB



0

(











## **Image Authentication**

#### watermarked and manipulated image



0

(



#### **Tamper Detection**

difference image and detected manipulation (after default JPEG compression)



0

(



## **Tamper Detection, cont.**

Seifenfabeikation



0











## **Future Work**

- better embedding method, results of Chen, Eggers and Ramkumar
- color images

0

0

0

C

- more human visual system (HVS) modelling
- region-of-interest coding (ROI)